

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re COMPUTE NORTH HOLDINGS, INC., <i>et al.</i>,¹ <p style="text-align: center;">Debtors.</p>	§ § § § § § § § §	Chapter 11 Case No. 22-90273-MI (Jointly Administered)
---	---	---

**DEBTORS' FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN
PROOFS OF CLAIM (AMENDED CLAIMS, DUPLICATE CLAIMS)**

This is an objection to your claim(s). This objection asks the Court to disallow the claim(s) that you filed in this bankruptcy case. If you do not file a response within 30 days after the objection was served on you, your claim may be disallowed without a hearing.

If you object to the relief requested, you must respond in writing. Unless otherwise directed by the Court, you must file your response electronically at <https://ecf.txsb.uscourts.gov/> within thirty days from the date this motion was filed. Otherwise, the Court may treat the Objection as unopposed and sustain the relief requested.

A hearing will be conducted on this matter on March 6, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 404, 4th floor, 515 Rusk, Houston, Texas 77002. You may participate in the hearing either in person or by an audio and video connection.

Audio communication will be by use of the Court's dial-in facility. You may access the facility at 832-917-1510. Once connected, you will be asked to enter the conference room number. Judge Isgur's conference room number is 954554. Video communication will be by use of the GoToMeeting platform. Connect via the free GoToMeeting application or click the link on Judge Isgur's home page. The meeting code is "JudgeIsgur". Click the settings icon in the upper right corner and enter your name under the personal information setting. Hearing appearances must be made electronically in advance of both electronic and in-person hearings. To make your appearance, click the "Electronic Appearance" link on Judge Isgur's home page. Select the case name, complete the required fields and click "Submit" to complete your appearance.

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, include: Compute North Holdings, Inc. (4534); Compute North LLC (7185); CN Corpus Christi LLC (5551); CN Atoka LLC (4384); CN Big Spring LLC (4397); CN Colorado Bend LLC (4610); CN Developments LLC (2570); CN Equipment LLC (6885); CN King Mountain LLC (7190); CN Minden LLC (3722); CN Mining LLC (5223); CN Pledgor LLC (9871); Compute North Member LLC (8639); Compute North NC08 LLC (8069); Compute North NY09 LLC (5453); Compute North SD, LLC (1501); Compute North Texas LLC (1883); Compute North TX06 LLC (5921); and Compute North TX10 LLC (4238). The Debtors' service address for the purposes of these chapter 11 cases is 7575 Corporate Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344.

This Objection seeks to disallow and expunge certain proofs of claim. Claimants receiving this Objection should locate their names and claims on Schedule 1 and/or Schedule 2 to the proposed Order attached to this Objection.

Compute North Holdings, Inc., and its above-captioned affiliates as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), hereby file this *First Omnibus Objection to Certain Proofs of Claim (Amended Claims, Duplicate Claims)* (the “**Objection**”), and in support thereof, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

I. Relief Requested

1. By this Objection, the Debtors seek entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto (the “**Order**”) disallowing each proof of claim identified on Schedule 1 to the Order (the “**Duplicate Claims**”) and on Schedule 2 to the Order (the “**Amended Claims**”). The Debtors have analyzed the Duplicate Claims and Amended Claims in accordance with their duties as debtors in possession under section 1107(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and based on this review, the Debtors have determined that the Duplicate Claims and Amended Claims should be disallowed in their entirety on the bases set forth below, and as detailed on Schedule 1 and Schedule 2 of the Order.

2. In support of this Objection, the Debtors submit the *Declaration of Ryan Mersch in Support of the Debtors’ First Omnibus Objection to Certain Proofs of Claim (Duplicate Claims, Amended Claims)* (the “**Mersch Declaration**”) attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This Court can hear and determine this matter in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 157 and the standing order of reference of bankruptcy cases and proceedings in this District. This matter is a core proceeding, and venue for this Objection is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are Bankruptcy Code section 502, Rules 3001 and 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

III. Background

5. On September 22, 2022 (the “**Petition Date**”) the Debtors filed their voluntary petitions for relief in this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, commencing the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the “**Cases**”).

6. The Debtors are operating their businesses as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and Bankruptcy Local Rule 1015-1. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these Cases.

7. On October 6, 2022 the United States Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (“**Committee**”) in these Cases [Docket. No 139].

8. Additional factual background and information regarding the Debtors, including their business operations, their prepetition corporate and capital structure, the events leading to the commencement of these Cases are set forth in detail in the *Declaration of Harold Coulby, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Debtors, in Support of the Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Pleadings* [Docket No. 22] (the “**First Day Declaration**”).

9. On September 26, 2022, the Court entered its *Order (I) Authorizing Debtors to (A) File a Consolidated Creditor Matrix, (B) File a Consolidated List of 30 Largest Unsecured Creditors, and (C) Redact Certain Individual and Customer Confidential Information, (II) Approving Form and Manner of Notifying Creditors of Commencement of Chapter 11 Cases and Other Information, (III) Setting Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim, Including Requests for*

Payment Under Section 503(b)(9), and (IV) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 86] (“**Bar Date Order**”). Among other things, the Bar Date Order set a deadline for all persons or entities (excluding governmental units) to file proofs of claim in the Debtors’ cases on or before November 23 2022 (the “**Claims Bar Date**”).

10. On October 28, 2022, the Debtors filed their schedules (“**Schedules**”) in these Cases.

11. On November 23, 2022, the Debtors filed their *Joint Liquidating Chapter 11 Plan of Compute North Holdings, Inc. and its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 576] (as amended from time to time, the “**Plan**”).

IV. Claims Reconciliation Process

12. In order to maximize the return to holders of claims under the Plan, the Debtors, in accordance with their duties as debtors in possession, have, along with their professional advisors, been diligently reviewing the schedules and all proofs of claim filed against them in these Cases, along with the Debtors’ books and records and supporting documentation, if any, provided by claimants in support of their claims. Based on their review, and for the reasons set forth below, the Debtors have determined that the Duplicate Claims identified on Schedule 1 to the Order, and the Amended Claims identified on Schedule 2 to the Order should be disallowed in their entirety as set forth herein.

V. Objection

13. Section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that “[a] claim or interest, proof of which is filed under section 501 of this title, is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If such objection to a claim is made, then “the court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

The burden of proof is on the objecting party to produce sufficient evidence to overcome the *prima facie* effect of the claim. *See In re O’Conner*, 153 F.3d 258, 260 (5th Cir. 1998). If the objecting party succeeds, the burden shifts to the party asserting the claim, who must then establish the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence. *Id.* The ultimate burden of proof lies with the party who would bear the burden if the dispute arose outside of the bankruptcy context. *See In re Armstrong*, 320 B.R. 97 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2005).

14. Rule 3001 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (“**Bankruptcy Rules**”) provides that a “proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim.” FED. R. BANKR. P. 3001(f). Bankruptcy Rule 3001 is designed to provide the debtor with “fair notice of the conduct, transaction and occurrences that form the basis of the claim.” *In re Sandifer*, 318 B.R. 609, 611 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 2004).

15. In order for a proof of claim to be sufficient to establish *prima facie* validity under Bankruptcy Rule 3001, it must: (1) be in writing; (2) make demand on the debtor’s estate; (3) express the intent to hold the debtor liable for the debt; (4) be properly filed; and (5) be based upon facts which would allow, as a matter of equity, to have the document accepted as a proof of claim. *See In re Armstrong*, 320 B.R. 97, 104 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2005) (citing *First National Bank of Fayetteville, Arkansas v. Circle J Dairy, Inc. (In re Circle J Dairy, Inc.)*, 112 B.R. 297, 299-300 (W.D. Ark. 1989) and *In re Scholz*, 57 B.R. 259 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1986)).

16. A proof of claim loses the presumption of prima facie validity under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) if an objecting party refutes at least one of the allegations that are essential to the claim’s legal sufficiency. *See In re Fidelity Holding Co., Ltd.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988) (holding “[if] evidence rebutting the claim is brought forth, then the claimant must produce

additional evidence to ‘prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence’”). Once such an allegation is refuted, the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of its claim by a preponderance of the evidence. *Id.* Despite this shifting burden during the claim objection process, “the ultimate burden of proof always lies with the claimant.” *Id.*

17. Bankruptcy Rule 3007 governs objections to claims. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) provides that objections to more than one claim may be filed in an omnibus objection if, among other reasons, such claims:

- Duplicate other claims;
- Have been amended by subsequently filed proofs of claim;
- Have been satisfied or released during the case in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code, applicable rules, or a court order;
- Were presented in a form that does not comply with applicable rules, and the objection states that the objector is unable to determine the validity of the claim because of the noncompliance;
- Are interests rather than claims; or
- Assert priority in an amount that exceeds the maximum under § 507 of the Code.

FED R. BANKR. P. 3007(d).

18. **Duplicate Claims**: The Debtors seek entry of an order disallowing the Duplicate Claims identified on Schedule 1 of the Order, specifically because the Debtors’ review of their books and records, the Schedules, and the applicable claims registers for each of the Debtors in these Cases has determined that each of the claims on Schedule 1 is duplicative of another claim for the same claimant. Failure to disallow the Duplicate Claims could result in such claimants receiving an unwarranted recovery to the detriment of other similarly situated creditors in these Cases. Furthermore, elimination of the Duplicate Claims will enable the Debtors to maintain accurate claims registers, and will not prejudice any claimant identified on Schedule 1, as a

surviving claim as has been identified for each such claimant. On these bases, and as set forth in the Mersch Declaration, the Duplicate Claims should be disallowed in their entirety.

19. **Amended Claims**: The Debtors seek entry of an order disallowing the Amended Claims identified on Schedule 2 of the Order, specifically because the Debtors' review of their books and records, the Schedules, and the applicable claims registers for each of the Debtors in these Cases has determined that each of the claims on Schedule 2 has been amended and superseded by a subsequent claim filed by the same claimant. Failure to disallow the Amended Claims could result in such claimants receiving an unwarranted recovery to the detriment of other similarly situated creditors in these Cases. Furthermore, elimination of the Amended Claims will enable the Debtors to maintain accurate claims registers, and will not prejudice any claimant identified on Schedule 2, as a surviving claim as has been identified for each such claimant. On these bases, and as set forth in the Mersch Declaration, the Amended Claims should be disallowed in their entirety.

IV. **Reservation of Rights**

20. This Objection is not limited to the grounds stated herein. Accordingly, it is without prejudice to the rights of the Debtors to object to the Duplicate Claims and Amended Claims on any ground whatsoever. It is further without prejudice to the rights of the Debtors to object to any claim or interest on any ground whatsoever, including any surviving claim identified on Schedule 1 and Schedule 2. The Debtors expressly reserve all further substantive or procedural objections. Nothing contained herein or any actions taken pursuant to such relief is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' right to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim

is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any order granting the relief requested by this Objection; or (e) a waiver of the Debtors' rights under the Plan, the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

V. Separate Contested Matter

21. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any Duplicate Claim or Amended Claim and the Debtors are unable to resolve any such response, each such Duplicate Claim or Amended Claim, and the Objection as it pertains to such Duplicate Claim or Amended Claim, will constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Further, the Debtors request that any order entered by the Court regarding an objection or other reply asserted in response to this Objection be deemed a separate order with respect to each proof of claim.

VI. Notice

22. Notice of this Objection shall be provided: (a) via Court ECF notification upon all parties in these Cases who are entitled to receive such notification; and (b) via first-class US Mail upon each claimant at the address of the party designated to receive notice on the proof of claim form in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007. The Debtors respectfully submit that such notice is sufficient and that no further notice of this Objection is required.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully requests that the Court enter an order substantially in the form attached hereto: (i) sustaining the Objection to the Duplicate Claims listed on Schedule 1 of the Order and sustaining the Objection to the Amended Claims listed on Schedule 2 of the Order; (ii) disallowing and expunging the Duplicate Claims and Amended Claims from the claims register in these Cases; and (iii) awarding the Debtors such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted: January 13, 2023

**FERGUSON BRASWELL
FRASER KUBASTA PC**

By: /s/ Rachael L. Smiley
Rachael L. Smiley (State Bar No. 24066158)
2500 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600
Plano, TX 75093
Phone: 972-378-9111
Fax: 972-378-9115
rsmiley@fbfk.law

**PROPOSED EFFICIENCY COUNSEL
FOR DEBTORS**

-and-

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

By: /s/ James T. Grogan III
James T. Grogan III (TX Bar No. 24027354)
Schlea Thomas (admitted *pro hac vice*)
600 Travis Street, 58th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 860-7300
Facsimile: (713) 353-3100
Email: jamesgrogan@paulhastings.com
schleathomas@paulhastings.com

**COUNSEL TO DEBTORS AND
DEBTORS IN POSSESSION**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 13, 2023 a copy of the foregoing document was served electronically on the Electronic Case Filing System for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas.

/s/ Rachael L. Smiley
Rachael L. Smiley